

Evaluation Report

The evaluation of the Community of Practice Workshop in Prague (Czech Republic) was done on the basis of the **13 presented evaluation forms**, which is around 40% of all the attendants participating in the workshop. Submitted reports represent mostly the views of **higher education institutions**, having half of the forms submitted by higher education colleges and universities of applied sciences. Other submitted forms were by representatives of associations of HEIs and governmental agencies/institutions.

The content of the workshop is viewed very positively reaching **90% of good/excellent** evaluations. The plenary sessions and working groups were evaluated well, with the Plenary session 1: “Learning outcomes, their formulation and relevance within the wider context” and Plenary session 2: “Methods of delivery and assessment”, being followed by the working groups 1+2: “Learning outcomes and external factors” and “Reflection of learning outcomes in methods of delivery and assessment”. **Speakers** in the plenary sessions have been **well evaluated**, with the presentation of Mr Doherty being especially appreciated.



General experience during the workshop gave **very good overall results**. The most acknowledged were the information provided prior to the workshop, as well as the overall organisation and the logistics. Participants have as well appreciated the social programme. As an improvement from the previous events, the networking opportunities have been increased, same as interaction during the sessions.

For further improvements, it has been suggested to talk more about the assessment and to stimulate the interaction among participants.

Most of the participants learnt about the workshop through **other colleagues** or **newsletters**. A large number of participants have stated that they were informed about the workshop through previous EURASHE events or EURASHE website, showing a good reputation of EURASHE in the field of higher education.

The overall satisfaction with the workshop was evaluated with 7 excellent and 6 good grades.