


Evaluation Report

The Evaluation of the Seminar in Prague (Czech Republic) was done on the basis of the **40 presented evaluation forms**, which is just under half of all the participants taking part at the Seminar. Submitted reports represent mostly the views of **Higher Education Institutions**, having half of the forms submitted by Universities and University Colleges. Other submitted forms were by representatives of Non-Governmental Organisations, Governmental Agencies/Institutions and Associations of HEIs.

The content of the Seminar is viewed very positively reaching **85% of good/excellent** evaluations. This includes in order or preference **key contributors, workshops and the panel discussion**. **Speakers** at the Seminar have been **very well evaluated**, with the presentation of Mr Lauwick and Mr Ranne being especially appreciated.



General experience during the Seminar gave **very good overall results**. Besides the side activities and the social programme, catering and the premises, participants have found the Seminar **very well organised**, providing a **valuable programme** and **valuable opportunity for getting information**. The information provided prior to the Seminar has been greeted.

For further improvements, it has been suggested to **prolong the Seminar** of such content to more than a day, ensuring more space for **discussions** as well as for **questions and answers**.

Most of the participants learnt about the Seminar through **EURASHE's website or Newsletters**. A large number of participants have stated that they were informed about the Seminar through other colleagues, showing a good reputation of EURASHE in the field of Higher Education.

The overall satisfaction with the Seminar was evaluated with **15 excellent** and **23 good** grades.

We would like to thank all the participants that provided us with feedback and assisted in further development of our activities.